

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/891,235	06/27/2001	Takahiro Hosomi	053969-0128	1598	
	7590 06/13/2007 LARDNER LLP	EXAMINER			
SUITE 500			TORRES, JUAN A		
3000 K STREE WASHINGTO			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			2611		
		•			
	· .	•	MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			06/13/2007	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.



Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)		
09/891,235	HOSOMI, TAKAHIRO		
Examiner	Art Unit		
Juan A. Torres	2611		

	Juan A. Torres	2611					
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address							
THE REPLY FILED 04 June 2007 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APP	PLICATION IN CONDITION FOR A	LLOWANCE.					
 The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or or this application, applicant must timely file one of the follow places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Not a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliant time periods: The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date 	n the same day as filing a Notice of wing replies: (1) an amendment, affortice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in one with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply means of the final rejection.	Appeal. To avoid aba fidavit, or other evider compliance with 37 C ust be filed within one	nce, which FR 41.31; or (3) e of the following				
The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection.							
Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f).							
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).							
<u></u>	NOTICE OF APPEAL 2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in compliance with 37 CFR 41.37 must be filed within two months of the date of						
filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal. Since a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed within the time period set forth in 37 CFR 41.37(a).							
AMENDMENTS							
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection,	but prior to the date of filing a brief	, will <u>not</u> be entered b	ecause				
(a) They raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);							
(b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below							
(c) They are not deemed to place the application in be	tter form for appeal by materially re	educing or simplifying	the issues for				
appeal; and/or		inated alaima					
(d) They present additional claims without canceling a		ected claims.					
NOTE: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u> . (See 37 CFR 1.1		Cont. Annual contract	(DTOL 204)				
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121. See attached Notice of Non-Compliant Amendment (PTOL-324).							
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):							
6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment canceling the non-allowable claim(s).							
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is pro The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:		ill be entered and an	explanation of				
Claim(s) allowed:							
Claim(s) objected to:							
Claim(s) rejected: <u>5,7,8 14-19 and 23-35</u> .							
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:							
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE	A bafana an an tha data of filing a N	letice of Annaal will n	at he entered				
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, be because applicant failed to provide a showing of good ar was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). 	at belore of off the date of filing a N ad sufficient reasons why the affidar	vit or other evidence i	s necessary and				
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessar	overcome <u>all</u> rejections under appe ry and was not earlier presented. S	al and/or appellant fa See 37 CFR 41.33(d)(ills to provide a 1).				
10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER							
11. ☑ The request for reconsideration has been considered by See above.		n condition for allowa	nce because:				
12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s) 13. Other:							

Continuation Sheet (PTO-303)

', Continuation of 3. NOTE:

The new submitted claims raise new issue that "when the transmission band width is varied, a ratio of total bits to error correction bits of an error correction code used in signal transmission between the equipment and the counterpart equipment is changed from a current ratio of total bits to error correction bits, while maintaining a same amount of data output per unit period by the error correction code", not presented previously, that would require further consideration and/or research.

In an intent to advance the prosecution of the case, in the previous Office action the Examiner interpreted that the limitation "wherein, when the transmission band width is varied, a bit number of an error correction code used in signal transmission between the equipment and the counterpart equipment is changed from a current bit number" from previous claims 25-35 was interpreted as "when the transmission band width is varied, a ratio of total bits to error correction bits of an error correction code used in signal transmission between the equipment and the counterpart equipment is changed from a current ratio of total bits to error correction bits".

The new limitation "while maintaining a same amount of data output per unit period by the error correction code" was not presented in the previous set of claims, and it is this limitation that is used by the Applicant, as supposedly not disclosed in the prior art of record (see remarks page 10 paragraph in bold font).

TENESGHEN GHEBRETINSAE PRIMARY EXAMINER